

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/522,359	Applicant(s) CUENOUD ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Gregory C. Houghton {Reg. No. 47,666}
Status of Application: 41

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 July 2007
Time: 2:16pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Monday, July 16, 2007@2:16pm and left a voice mail message inquiring whether or not Applicant had filed a response to the outstanding Office Action. The Examiner called Mr. Houghton on Friday, July 20, 2007@10:30am and again on Monday, July 23, 2007@11:10am when no return phone call had been received. The application is therefore being abandoned.

~~88~~
7/23/07